

ORDINANCE NO. 79

of the

TOWN OF GIG HARBOR

An Ordinance annexing to and incorporating with the Town of Gig Harbor certain unincorporated territory lying contiguous to the Town of Gig Harbor.

Be it ordained by the Council of the Town of Gig Harbor:

That Whereas by petition heretofore filed with the Council, Jim C. Taylor, Jean B. Taylor, Olive L. Skansie, Vincent W. Skansie, Marvin J. McCray, Jeroma M. McCray, Anders H. Johannessen, Maxine I. Johannessen, B. Keith Peterson, Norma E. Peterson, Reuben H. Berkheimer, Anna C. Berkheimer, Smith C. Snyder and Vera G. Snyder, being the owners of more than 75 per cent in value according to assessed valuations for taxation of the following described real property located in Pierce County, Washington:

The North half of the SE 1/4 of the SW 1/4 and the SE 1/4 of the SE 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Section 8, Township 21 North, Range 2 East, W. M., to center of County Road;

have requested the annexation and incorporation of said property within the Town of Gig Harbor by petition filed with the Council of the Town of Gig Harbor on the 24th day of November, 1964, and

Whereas, subsequent to the filing of said petition on the 24th day of November, 1964, the Council of the Town of Gig Harbor fixed Thursday, the 10th day of December, 1964, at the hour of 8:00 P. M. at the Town Hall in Gig Harbor, Washington, as the time and place at which all interested persons should appear and voice their approval or disapproval of said annexation of said unincorporated territory; and

Whereas, notice of said hearing was given as provided by law by the posting of notices and publication thereof, and

Whereas, at said hearing all parties present approved of said annexation, and

Whereas, on the 10th day of December, 1964, to which date said matter had been continued from time to time, the Council formally approved said Petition, and

Whereas, thereafter at the request of the Mayor, a Review Board was convened as required by Revised Code of Washington, Chapter 35.13 on the 13th day of January, 1965, and

Whereas, after consideration of all of the required factors, said Review Board approved said annexation.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it further ordained by the Council of the Town of Gig Harbor:

Section 1. That the following described real property located in Pierce County, Washington, to-wit:

The North half of the SE 1/4 of the SW 1/4 and the SE 1/4 of the SE 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Section 8, Township 21 North, Range 2 East, W.M., to center of County Road;

be, and it is hereby, annexed unto and incorporated within the Town of Gig Harbor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall become effective upon its passage and publication as provided by law.

PASSED this 11th day of February, 1965.


MAYOR

ATTEST:


Clerk

TO: TOWN COUNCIL
TOWN OF GIG HARBOR
½ CLERK'S OFFICE
GIG HARBOR, WASHINGTON

PETITION FOR ANNEXATION

COME NOW the undersigned petitioners, and petition the Town Council of the Town of Gig Harbor, Washington, as follows:

I.

That they are the owners of seventy-five percent (75%) or more in value, according to the assessed valuation for general taxation, of the following described real property located in Pierce County, Washington:

The North half of the SE1/4 of the SW1/4 and the SE1/4 of the SE1/4 of the SW1/4 of Section 8, Township 21 North, Range 2 East, W.M.

That there is a plat of said property attached hereto marked Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference.

II.

That they have heretofore notified the Town Council of their intention to commence annexation proceedings to annex said area to the Town of Gig Harbor.

III.

That at a regular meeting of the Town Council held on August 13, 1964, the Town Council voted to accept the proposed annexation and to require the assumption of existing town indebtedness by the area to be annexed. That said requirement is noted in the minutes of said meet-

ing and reads as follows: Attorney Goodwin ruled that the paper filed with and accepted by the council on July 23, 1964 constituted a notification of annexation only, and that the petition of annexation should be drawn up giving legal description of all property involved together with signature of each property owner concerned in said proposed annexation site plus a map showing all property lines of the proposed annexation area.

iv.

that the undersigned desire annexation in accord with the requirements hereinafore set forth.

WHEREFORE, your petitioners request that the Town Council of Big Harbor, Washington adopt an ordinance annexing the aforesaid area in accord with the procedures set forth by law.

Lot No.

3:39

3:15

3:16

3:17

3:22

3:20

3:10

3:13

3:40

4:74

4:5

4:50

MINUTES AND FINDINGS OF
REVIEW BOARD CONCERNING ANNEXATION
TO THE TOWN OF GIG HARBOR

10:00 A. M. , Tuesday, January 13, 1965

MEMBERS PRESENT:

H. B. Secor, Mayor, Town of Gig Harbor
Joe K. Alderson, Dept. of Commerce, State of Washington
Harry Sprinker, Chairman, Board of Pierce County Commissioners
Robert Weaver, Peninsula School District #401
Dr. Karl S. Peterson, Town of Gig Harbor - Additional Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Richard J. Jensen, Attorney for Gig Harbor
Donald F. Herron, Attorney for Pierce County

H. B. Secor, Mayor of the Town of Gig Harbor, moved that Dr. Karl S. Peterson be designated as the additional member of the Review Board, as required by statute. The motion was seconded by Mr. Sprinker, and the Review Board unanimously designated Dr. Peterson as the additional member of said Review Board. The Review Board was then convened by Mr. Secor for the purpose of considering the question of annexation.

Mr. Sprinker opened the meeting by asking for the legal description of the property proposed to be annexed to the Town of Gig Harbor, which is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

The North half of the SE 1/4 of the SW 1/4 and the SE 1/4 of
the SE 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Section 8, Township 21 North,
Range 2 East, W. M. (to center of County Road).

As a guide for the discussion for the reasons for the proposed annexation, Mr. Jensen, Attorney for Gig Harbor, proposed the following factors relative to said annexation to the members of the Review Board:

- (1) The immediate and prospective population of the area to be annexed -

Dr. Peterson stated that the present population was sixteen, and that further population would depend upon development of the area to be annexed. However, Dr. Peterson declared that water supply was the problem and Gig Harbor would be able to supply the necessary water.

- (2) The assessed valuation of the area to be annexed, and its relationship to population -

Mr. Secor stated that approximately 30 acres would be annexed to the Town of Gig Harbor and this acreage had an approximate value of \$1,000.00 per acre for a total of approximately \$30,000.00.

Mr. Weaver stated that the proposed area to be annexed would remain in the same fire and school district.

- (3) The history of and prospects for construction of improvements in the area to be annexed -

The Review Board felt that the Town of Gig Harbor would supply the necessary water which would encourage construction of additional homes in the area to be annexed.

- (4) The needs and possibilities for geographical expansion of the city -

Mr. Secor stated that need for water was the main reason said area had petitioned to be annexed.

- (5) The present and anticipated need for governmental services in the area proposed to be annexed, including but not limited to water supply, sewage and garbage disposal, zoning, streets, and alleys, curbs, sidewalks, police and fire protection, playgrounds, parks, and other municipal services, and transportation and drainage -

The Review Board agreed that water was the fundamental issue. Gig Harbor is in a better position than the County to supply the necessary water for inhabitants of the area.

Mr. Sprinker stated that with the exception of water, Gig Harbor and the County could supply approximately the same governmental services.

- (6) The relative capabilities of the city, county, and other political subdivisions to provide governmental services when the need arises -

The Review Board unanimously decided that Gig Harbor can provide the necessary water which the County can not.

- (7) The existence of school districts and special districts within the area proposed to be annexed, and the impact of annexation upon such districts -

After a discussion by the Review Board it was decided that since only one school district and one fire district was involved there would be no impact caused by said annexation.

- (8) The elimination of isolated unincorporated areas existing without adequate economical governmental services -

The Review Board determined that this factor had no application to this annexation.

- (9) The immediate and potential revenues that would be derived by the city as a result of annexation, and their relation to the cost of providing service to the area -

Mr. Secor stated that Gig Harbor will get the water revenue, but that is about all.

Mr. Alderson stated that he felt that the evidence indicates that the Town of Gig Harbor can adequately provide services for the area to be annexed and can better supply the water.

Mr. Sprinker stated that the County recognizes the need for water for the development of this area, and other governmental services can adequately be provided for by the Town of Gig Harbor, the same as the County has provided in the past. He further stated that the County had no objection to the annexation with the understanding that the annexation would go to the center line of the present county road.

Mr. Secor stated that was all right with the Town of Gig Harbor and they were all in favor of it.

Mr. Secor made a motion that the Review Board find that the proposed annexation would be in the public interest and for the public welfare, and in the best interest of the city, county, and other political subdivisions affected.

This motion was seconded by Mr. Weaver, voted upon and unanimously carried by the Review Board.



H. B. SECOR, CHAIRMAN